



കേരള സർക്കാർ
Government of Kerala
2014



Regn. No. KERBIL/2012/45073
dated 5-9-2012 with RNI

Reg. No. KL/TV(N)/634/2012-14

തിരുവനന്തപുരം KERALA GAZETTE

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

വാല്യം 3 Vol. III	തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ Thiruvananthapuram, Tuesday	2014 ആഗസ്റ്റ് 19 19th August 2014	നമ്പർ } No. } 33
		1190 ചിങ്ങം 3 3rd Chingam 1190	
		1936 ശ്രാവണം 28 28th Sravana 1936	

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 942/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 19th July 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Janasakthi Public School, Velanchira, Puthiyavila P. O., and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. S. Sreelatha, Bhodhi, Pullikkanakku P. O., Kayamkulam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication

to the Industrial Tribunal, Alappuzha. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment of Smt. S. Sreelatha, Clerk in Janasakthi Public School, Velanchira, Pattoli Market P. O., Kayamkulam is justifiable ? If not what relief she is entitled to?

(2)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 943/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 19th July 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri M. Valsan, 'Vilnas', Near Vivek Housing Colony, Pallikunnu P. O., Kannur-4 and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Kannur District National Motor Labour Union (INTUC), INTUC District Committee Office, Fort Road, Kannur-1 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri M. Prasanthan by Sri M. Valsan, employer of Vilna bus bearing Reg. No. KL-13-H-1703 with effect from 25-3-2013 is justifiable or not? If not what relief the worker is entitled to?

(3)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 949/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 21st July 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Proprietor, Vallakalil Bharath Gas, Thiruvambady, Pazhaveedu, Alappuzha-688 002 and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, All Kerala Gas Agencies Thozhilali Union (CITU), Room No. 125, Municippal Sathram, Sanathanam Ward, Alappuzha-688 001 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment of Sri T. A. Siyad, Accountant of Vallakalil Bharath Gas, Thiruvambady, Pazhaveedu, Alappuzha by the proprietor, Vallakalil Bharath Gas, Thiruvambady, Alappuzha is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to?

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 950/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 21st July 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Dr. Markose Arakkal, General Manager (Administration), Century Hospital, Mulakkuzha, Chengannur-689 505 and the workmen of the

above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Alapetty District Private Hospital Workers & Lab Technicians Association (AITUC), AITUC Office, Alappuzha in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the demands raised by the Trade Union with regard to the terms of employment and wages of the employees of the Century Hospital functioning at Chengannur is justifiable? If yes, what are the reliefs entitled?

(5)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 951/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 21st July 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, D.C. Press (Private) Limited, D.C. Kizhakemuri Edom, Goodsheperd Street, Kottayam-686 001 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, D. C. Press Workers Union (TUCI), TUCI District Committee Office, Kaumudi Buildings, Opposite PWD Office, T. B. Road, Kottayam-1 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki (Peermade). The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Sri Sajan Joseph, Platemaker and Sri John C. John, Printer, w.e.f. 3-4-2013 by the management of D.C. Press (Private) Limited, Kottayam is justifiable? If not, what relief these workers are entitled to?

(6)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 952/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 21st July 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the President, Kambilikandam Ksheerolpadaka Sahakarana Sangham No. I-182(D) Apcoose, Mukkudom P. O., Kambilikandam, Idukki District, Pin-685 562 and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. Sindhu Sijo, Urumbil Veedu, Mukkudom P. O., Kambilikandam, Idukki District, Pin-685 562 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki (Peermade). The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of the worker ie, Smt. Sindhu Sijo, formerly Secretary of the Kambilikandam Ksheerolpadaka Sahakarana Sangham No. I-182(D) is justifiable or not ? If not, what are the reliefs the worker is entitled to?

(7)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 953/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 21st July 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) Dr. P. K. Shanthakumari, Matha Ayurvedic Eye Hospital, Kudappanakkunnu, Civil Station, Thiruvananthapuram-695 043, (2) The Proprietor, Matha Ayurvedic Eye Hospital, Moongodu P. O., Thiruvananthapuram, (3) Sri Arunjith Puthussery, Matha Mahal, Jayaprakash Lane, Kudappanakkunnu P. O., Pin-695 043 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Pradeep Misra. V. S. Sharon, Valiyavazhi, Manchamkode P. O., in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the termination of services of Sri Pradeep Misra, Ayurvedic Therapist of Matha Ayurvedic Eye Hospital branches by its management is justifiable ? If not what are the reliefs he is entitled to ?

(8)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 954/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 21st July 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Hotel Saj Luciya, East Fort, Thiruvananthapuram-695 023, and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Hotel & Restaurant Employees Sena (BKS), Kerala Sivasena Bhavan, Fort P. O., Thiruvananthapuram-695 023 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the action of the Management of Saj Luciya Hotel, by denying the bonus for the year 2012-2013 to its employees is justifiable ? If not what are the reliefs entitled to ?

(9)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 955/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 21st July 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri P. J. Thomas, Parayil Cheradiyil Valiya Veetil, Plashanal P. O., Pin-686 579 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Kottayam District Motor & Engineering Mazdoor Sangh (BMS), T. B. Junction, M.L. Road, Kottayam-686 039 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki (Peermade). The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to (1) Sri Suneesh, S., Driver, (2) Binu George, Driver, (3) Anoop, S. Driver, (4) Sri Manoj Augustine, Conductor and (5) Sri Saneesh Sasi, Conductor w.e.f. 8-2-2013 by Sri P. J. Thomas, owner of Robbin Buses is justifiable? If not, what relief the workers are entitled to?

(10)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 956/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 21st July 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Ponmudi Estate, Ponmudi P. O., Via Vithura, Thiruvananthapuram-691 551, and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri S. Vijayakumar, Estate Worker (C.R. No. 1293), Ponmudi Estate, Ponmudi P. O., Vithura, Thiruvananthapuram-691 551 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the termination of services of Sri S. Vijayakumar, Estate Worker of Ponmudi Estate, Vithura by its Management is justifiable? If not what are the reliefs he is entitled to?

By order of the Governor,

RAJANIKANT R. BALIGA,
Under Secretary to Government.